Math 4200-001 Week 7-8 concepts and homework 2.4 Due Friday October 16 at 11:59 p.m.

2.4 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12, 16, 17, 18. Hint: In problems 2, 5, 18 identify the contour integrals as expressing a certain function or one of its derivatives, at a point inside γ , via the Cauchy integral formulas for analytic functions and their derivatives.

w7.1 Prove the special case of the Cauchy integral formula that we discuss on Wednesday, in Monday's notes:

If γ is a counter-clockwise simple closed curve bounding a subdomain *B* in *A*, with z_0 inside γ , then the important special case of the Cauchy integral formula can be proven with contour replacement and a limiting argument, assuming *f* is C^1 in addition to being analytic:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz.$$



New today !!

w7.2 Prove the positive distance lemma, which we make much use of in proving various theorems: If $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is compact, and if $K \subseteq O$, where O is open, then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $z \in K$, $D(z; \varepsilon) \subseteq O$. (This is equivalent to Distance Lemma 1.4.21 in the text. See if you can find a proof without looking there first, but in any case write a proof in your own words.)



Math 4200Monday October 122.4 Consequences of Cauchy's integral formula: infinite differentiability of analytic functions; Liouville's Theorem and the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Announcements:

· exam grading in progress · (added a Hw problem 2.4 Recall that before the midterm we proved the <u>Cauchy Integral Formula</u>, which lets us express the values of an analytic function inside closed contours, via a contour integral along these contours:

Theorem (Cauchy Integral Formula)

- Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open
- $f: A \to \mathbb{C}$ analytic
- $\gamma: [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ a piecewise C^1 closed contour in A that is homotopic (as closed curves in <u>A) to a point</u>. Let $\underline{z_0} \notin \gamma([a, b])$.

Then

•
$$\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz = f(z_0) I(\gamma; z_0).$$

The ingredients were:

(1) The fact that index is computed via our "favorite" contour integral integrand:

•
$$I(\gamma; z_0) = \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz$$



• (2) The auxillary function

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} & z \neq z_0 \\ f'(z_0) & z = z_0 \end{cases}$$

• (3) The deformation theorem for functions closed curves homotopic to points in a domain , applied to the function g.

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\gamma} g(z) dz = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz - \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = 0$$

$$f(z_0) \cdot 2\pi I(\delta; z_0)$$
reamany. fn C. I. F.

typical HW problem... (use C. I.F. a C. I.F. for durivatives)

Example: Let γ be the circle of radius 2 centered at the origin and oriented counterclockwise as usual. Find the value of $f(z) I(x; z) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{f(z)}{f(z)} dz$

$$\frac{2\pi i \cos^2}{e} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\cos(2z)}{(z-1)e^z} dz$$

$$z_0 = 1$$

$$f(z) = \frac{\cos(2z)}{e^2} i \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{\cos(2z)}{e} = f(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\cos(2z)}{(z-1)e^2} dz$$

We stated: Wed * $f(z) I(y; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(3)}{(3-z)} dz$ <u>Theorem</u> (Cauchy Integral Formula for Derivatives): Let f be analytic in the open set $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, γ a p.w. C^1 contour homotopic to a point in A. Then for z inside γ , every derivative of f exists and may be computed by the contour integral formulas

$$f'(z)I(\gamma;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^2} d\zeta \quad \Rightarrow \quad f''(z) I(\zeta;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{zf(z)}{(\zeta-z)^3} d\zeta$$

$$f^{(n)}(z)I(\gamma;z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^{n+1}} d\zeta$$

notice, these are the formulas we get by induction and "differentiating thru the integral sign" :

•
$$\frac{d}{dz}\frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} = f(\zeta)(-1)(\zeta-z)^{-2}(-1) = \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^2}$$

• $\frac{d}{dz}\frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^n} = f(\zeta)(-n)(\zeta-z)^{-n-1}(-1) = n\frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^{n+1}}.$

So, when can you justify this operation of differentiating thru the integral sign? <u>That's</u> an analysis question!

Analysis answer! General setup: Let γ as usual and

•
$$G(z) := \int_{\gamma} g(z, \zeta) d\zeta$$

(For our current needs we will be using the special cases

•
$$g(z, \zeta) = \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^n}$$

 $3 = \delta(t)$ d3 = $\delta'(t)dt$

Does $G'(z) = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z, 3) d3$??

By linearity of integration,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \quad \frac{G(z+h) - G(z)}{h} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta$$

We wish to know general conditions under which these contour integrals of difference quotients converge to

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z, \zeta) d\zeta$$

as $h \to 0$. We certainly need that $g(z, \zeta)$ be complex differentiable in the z variable. Then the following suffices: Suppose the difference quotients converge uniformly (with respect to $\zeta \in \gamma[a, b]$) to $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta)$. In other words,

$$\begin{cases} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \forall \zeta \in \gamma[a, b] \\ |h| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) \right| < \varepsilon. \\ |\int_{\gamma} \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z, \zeta) d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} d\zeta \\ |\zeta| = \frac{g(z+h,$$

If this uniformity condition holds, then

.

$$|h| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{G(z+h) - G(z)}{h} - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta) d\zeta \right|$$
usual est
$$\leq \int_{\gamma} \left| \frac{g(z+h,\zeta) - g(z,\zeta)}{h} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta) \right| |d\zeta| < \varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{length}(\gamma),$$
which implies
$$\leq \sum_{\gamma} \left| \frac{g(z+h,\zeta) - g(z,\zeta)}{h} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta) \right| |d\zeta| < \varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{length}(\gamma),$$

$$G'(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z, \zeta) d\zeta$$

So, when can we verify the uniformity condition from the previous page?

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \forall \zeta \in \gamma[a, b]$$
$$|h| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{g(z+h, \zeta) - g(z, \zeta)}{h} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z, \zeta) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Estimate, assuming $g(z, \zeta)$ is analytic in the *z*-variable and using e.g. line segment contours from *z* to *z* + *h*:

$$F = c \qquad \frac{g(z+h,\zeta) - g(z,\zeta)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{z \to z+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} g(w,\zeta) dw$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \int_{z \to z+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} g(w,\zeta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta)\right) dw$$

$$= \frac{h}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta) + \frac{1}{h} \int_{z \to z+h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} g(w,\zeta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z,\zeta)\right) dw.$$
Regarding the second term as the error term: If for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$,

Regarding the second term as the error term: If for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}g(w, \zeta)$ is continuous for $(w, \zeta) \in \overline{D}(z; \rho) \times \gamma([a, b])$, then it is uniformly continuous, so $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists 0 < \delta < \rho$ such that $\forall \zeta \in \gamma[a, b], |w-z| < \delta$, $\exists \theta \in (w, \zeta) = 0$

•
$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w} g(w, \zeta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z, \zeta) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

And in this case, for $|h| < \delta$, the error term is bounded uniformly for $\zeta \in \gamma[a, b]$, by

$$\left|\frac{1}{h}\int_{z \to z+h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}g(w,\zeta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}g(z,\zeta)\right)dw\right| \leq \left|\frac{h}{h}\right|\varepsilon = \varepsilon .$$

In our applications for the Cauchy integral formulas for derivatives,

$$g(z, \zeta) = \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n}}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}g(w, \zeta) = \frac{nf(\zeta)}{(\zeta - w)^{n+1}}$$

is continuous for $(w, \zeta) \in \overline{D}(z; \rho) \times \gamma([a, b])$ as soon as ρ is small enough so that $\overline{D}(z; \rho) \times \gamma([a, b]) = \emptyset$. (Positive distance lemma).

This finishes the analysis explanation for why the Cauchy integral formulas for derivatives hold.

(From last Wed. notes)

<u>Corollary</u> (*Liouville's Theorem*) Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be entire. Suppose f is also bounded, i. e. $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq M$ $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then f is constant. proof: (It's very very short.)

$$(a + z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(a + z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): circle of rad R chid Q z$$

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): circle of rad R chid Q z$$

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(3-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

$$(a + \gamma): f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{f(z)}{(2-z)^2} d\overline{j}.$$

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra Let

$$p(z) = z^{n} + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{1}z + a_{0}$$

be a polynomial of degree *n* (scaled so that the coefficient of z^n is 1), with $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Then p(z) factors into a product of linear factors,

$$p(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n).$$

$$z \in \mathcal{L}.$$

proof:

It suffices to prove there exists a single linear factor when $n \ge 1$ since the general \bigcirc case then follows by induction:

- (i) The FTA is true when n = 1.
- (ii) If FTA is true for n-1, and if

$$p_n(z) = (z - z_n)p_{n-1}(z)$$

then FTA is true for $p_n(z)$.

• To show that $p_n(z)$ has a linear factor, it suffices to show that $p_n(z)$ has a root, $p_n(z_n) = 0$. This follows from the division algorithm:

$$\frac{p_n(z)}{z-a} = q_{n-1}(z) + \frac{R}{z-a}$$

So $p_n(a) = 0$ if and only if (z - a) is a factor of $p_n(z)$.

Then the proof proceeds by contradiction: If $p_n(z)$ has no roots, then $\frac{1}{p_n(z)}$ is entire,

and • $\frac{1}{p_n(z)} = \frac{1}{z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1z + a_0}$ • $= \frac{1}{z^n} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{a_{n-1}}{z} + \dots + \frac{a_1}{z^{n-1}} + \frac{a_0}{z^n}\right)}$ (2 ≠ 0)

Show that $\frac{1}{p_n(z)}$ must be bounded, so by Liouville's Theorem it must be constant. This is a contradiction! This is a contradiction!

we'll finish
carefully on (i)
$$\lim_{\substack{|r_2| \to \infty \\ r_2| \to \infty \\ Wed!}} \frac{1}{p_n(2)} = 0$$

Wed! (ii) $|p_n(2)| \leq M$ on any $D(0, R)$
(M dependen R) $\Rightarrow p_n(2)$ is bd.
(M dependen R) $\Rightarrow p_n(2)$ is bd.
constant.
Lionville \Rightarrow .